

Leo Maier DG AGRI evaluation unit

Ongoing evaluation in rural development State of play, current challenges and future prospects

Workshop on "Evaluation activities 2007-2013 – Evaluation perspectives 2014-2020", Athens, 6 June 2012



Outline

- Purpose of ongoing evaluation
- The "system" of ongoing evaluation
- How has it worked in practice?
- How to make it work better?
- Some ideas for discussion



Weaknesses in the 2000-2006 period ...

- Evaluation treated as a disconnected, stand-alone exercise (formal requirement)
- Focused on individual measures, limited link to broader objectives
- Substantial variability in effort, data, tools, reporting; limited comparability / aggregation
- Insufficient preparation of the mid-term and expost evaluations



Ongoing evaluation should ...

- Ensure capacity building early on
- Encourage timely data collection
- Help establish good evaluation practice
- Encourage information sharing
- Ensure continuity of the evaluation activities
- Provide regular feedback through annual reporting
- *Prepare for the mid-term and ex-post evaluations*

Evaluation should become an integral part of the programme cycle



The system

The "system" of ongoing evaluation

- Ongoing evaluation organised by the MA in cooperation with COM. COM to organise training and exchanges of best practice and information (CR 1698/2005)
- European evaluation expert network to facilitate exchange of expertise and support implementation & evaluation of the rural development policy
- Evaluation expert committee for RD
 - *advise COM on the work programme of the network*
 - contribute to the choice and coordination of thematic work
 - monitor the implementation of ongoing evaluation
- Technical assistance ⇒ "Helpdesk"



Components

Bringing together ...

Evaluators: assess the impacts of RD measures / programmes

Member State authorities: ensure availability of data on general trends, outputs and results; steer the evaluation process; report to COM

Academics / researchers with an expertise in the evaluation of rural development; other interested stakeholders (MC, SG)

Commission: establishes the common framework, provides methodological support, facilitates capacity building + exchange of good practice, synthesis of mid-term & ex-post evaluation



EU network

How is it working in practice (EU network)?

Work programme of the network

- > SWOT analysis / needs assessment in the MS
- Focus groups / Helpdesk geographical experts
- > RD country desk officers





Network contributions

A) Evaluation practice / methodological support

- Guidance documents
 - High Nature Value land and farming (impact indicator)
 - Assessing environmental & socio-economic impacts
 - Capturing the impacts of LEADER and of measures to improve the quality of life in rural areas
 - Evaluation of national rural network programmes
 - Ex-ante evaluation 2014 2020 (in preparation)
- Working papers
 - Gross value added indicator



Network contributions

B) Other support

- Preparation of the mid-term evaluations
 - Guidelines for the MTE
 - Explanatory notes on the common evaluation questions and on MTE reporting
 - MTE assessment tool (for COM desk officers)
 - Methodological assessment of MTE reports
- Collection / dissemination of good practice
 - Good practice workshops (HNV, rural networks, drafting ToRs for the ex-ante evaluation, ongoing evaluation, data for evaluation)
- Evaluation training for AGRI desk officers
- Frequently asked questions Helpdesk function



Network contributions

C) Fostering information exchange

- Evaluation newsletter (8 issues so far) + newsletters on good practice workshops
- Participation in events
 - meetings of evaluators in MS
 - national evaluation networks
 - conferences / workshops on evaluation
 - focus groups
- Website + internet-based discussion forum
- Annual updates on needs assessments
- Synthesis of APRs (ongoing evaluation)



Achievements

What has been achieved so far?

The system of ongoing evaluation is largely operational, many of the initial hurdles have been overcome

A fruitful co-operation between the MS and COM has been established; an active dialogue among the MS is emerging

A considerable amount of capacity building and "preparatory" activity has been going on in the MS

MS were better prepared for the mid-term evaluations, but final judgement on results still outstanding (synthesis ongoing)

Activities for information sharing and exchange of good practice among MS have proven to be particularly useful

Efforts are still concentrated on implementation, benefits in terms of more flexible and timely evaluation feedback have not (yet) materialised (too much emphasis on mid-term and ex-post?)



MS experience

How is it working in practice (Member States)?

- Annual Progress Reports 2010
- MTE recommendations on M&E
- Focus group discussions
- Interviews on ongoing evaluation
- Vienna WS on ongoing evaluation, May 2012



Report on ongoing evaluation in 2012 (Helpdesk)





1) Findings from the ARPs 2010

Overall positive ...

- ✓ The APRs show that the MTEs have been embedded in a continuous process of evaluation-related activities.
- ✓ The MTE has not been considered as a one-off exercise, but as an element of a dynamic process of ongoing evaluation activities.
- ✓ It can be expected that the outcomes/recommendations of the MTE will feed into ongoing evaluation and that the follow-up will be well reflected in the APRs for 2011.





... but difficulties encountered

[% of APRs assessed]



problems/limitations with common...

problems/limitations with data availability

methodological problems/limitations problem with timing of the MTE report internal organisational problems

problems with reporting requirements



MTE / Focus groups

2) MTE / Focus group recommendations





Example impacts

Assessing impacts in the MTE





MTE / Focus groups





Recommendation of the focus groups:

All actors involved in M&E need to develop a **balanced approach** to tackle **all four** M&E activity fields in need of improvement



Ongoing evaluation interviews

3) Interviews: success factors

- Early establishment of the ongoing evaluation system
- ✓ Early involvement of the evaluator(s)
- ✓ Regular communication among evaluation actors
- ✓ Allocation of sufficient resources for data collection
- Continuous building up of specific knowledge and skills
- Developing methods for specific evaluation tasks
- ✓ Sharing of good practice
- Creating understanding and building awareness within MAs



Good practice workshop

4) WS on ongoing evaluation

Confirmation of results of the other exercises, with a strong reminder of the importance of good governance

In particular, good co-operation between the Managing Authority, the Paying Agency and the evaluators is key for successful evaluation



Getting it right for the ex-post (and for post-2013)

- Bring the actors together (MA, PA, evaluators)
 - try to define the relationship as a partnership
 - specify the role and obligations of each actor
 - establish clear communication channels and organise the information flow
 - > establish an evaluation manual (agreed in a collaborative process)
- Improve the planning of the evaluation process
 - establish an evaluation plan
 - establish a quality control mechanism
- Develop a data coordination and provision plan
 - ensure timely transmission of monitoring data to evaluators
 - *develop a concept for integrating existing databases (document)*
 - > establish a data co-ordinator endowed with sufficient competences



Getting it right for the ex-post (and for post-2013)

- Continue to refine evaluation approaches / tools
 - Engage academics / experts in the process
 - encourage a targeted exchange of good practice (also across borders)
- Analyse conditions concerning the enabling environment
 - staff resources, competence levels, staffing continuity
 - identify bottlenecks and risk factors; draw up contingency plans
 - continue capacity building / training activities
- Find a balance between the preparations for the ex-post and the preparations for post-2013
 - ex-ante evaluation of the RDPs 2014-2020
 - preparation of the evaluation plan
 - > see it as an opportunity to develop synergies between the two exercises



Timeline of activities





Thank you !

Workshop on "Evaluation activities 2007-2013 – Evaluation perspectives 2014-2020", Athens, 6 June 2012