

Background

Aim of the RDP "Increasing the value of agricultural products": supporting the investments in processing facilities (only processing of Annex I products)

Beneficiaries:

- Agricultural enterprises,
- 2. Micro and small-size food processors,
- 3. Any other micro- and small-size enterprises.

Main evaluation questions in ex-post evaluation:

- What was the purpose of the subsidied developments?
- How significantly did the competitiveness, productivity and profitability of the beneficiaries increase?
- Did the agricultural beneficiaries gain a better position in the value chain?
- To what extent did the value of the agricultural product increase significantly?

Additional evaluation questions

- Did the given measures contribute to the income level of producers?
- Has the increase in competitiveness been accompanied by an increase in quality, a higher level of processing and more added value?
- What kind of proposals can be drafted in order to accomplish the results expected by the CAP even more effectively in the coming programming period?
- What supported activities have/will the beneficiaries carry out thanks to the grant?
- What was the purpose of the subsidied developments?

| Judgment criteria | Indicators | Data sources |
|---|--|---|
| Profitability of the beneficiaries has increased (compared to basic population) | ROS (Return on Sales), ROA (Return on Assets), ROI (Return on Investment) | |
| Labour productivity of beneficiaries has increased (compared to basic population) | Profit before Tax/Number of Employees, Operating Profit/Labour Cost | <u>Data of National Tax</u> <u>Office</u> /Operations Database |
| Competitiveness of beneficiaries has increased (compared to all food industries) | Export Ratio | |
| Target activity/sector | Number and amount of investment/subsector | Operations Database/Questionnaire |
| Main purposes of the investments | Share of product development, technology development, market diversification etc. Applications | Operations Database/Questionnaire |

Methods/approaches used to answer the evaluation questions

Databases: Data provided by applicants (Operations Database). Main limitation: missed data/data of National Tax Office; only agricultural and food industrial enterprises

Surveys:

- 1) Short questionnaire sent to every applicant:
- Main data of applicants (sector, size, size of project)
- Main aim of the project (sector, target of investment)
- Result of the application
- Opinion on application process
- 2) Short questionnaire sent to potential applicants via National Agricultural Chamber

Survey methods: Simple descriptive statistics (frequencies, and averages), Cross-table analysis, different tests to analyse the relationship between variables.

Interviews: PA Experts, experts of consulting companies and other organisations:

 General opinion on the program, application process, experiences, good practices, typical problems arisen

Advantages and disadvantages of the approaches used

Advantages

- New approach of the evaluation (new evaluation questions, with more quantitative emphasis, financial indicators)
- Relatively simple calculation methods (financial indicators) but high valueadded in terms of information gain
- Possibility of qualitative evaluation
- Results of financial analysis and surveys can lead to both theoretical and practical recommendations during the ex-post evaluation.

Disadvantages and risks

- Proper data collection is limited/not available/at high risk
- Identifying control groups is a challenge
- Limited timeline: real effects of investments can be measured only 2-3 years after finalisation/other factors may affect profitability, productivity etc.
- Subjectivity of respondents

Recommendations/suggested improvements for future CAP based on our ongoing evaluation (mainly for MAs)

- Better targeting of possible applicants during the next period
- Simpler but more targeted data provided by applicants
- Faster and simpler evaluation process of applications for deciding on support
- Easily calculable financial data and indicators instead of qualitative presentation — also may help to speed up the application assessment process (also relevant for evaluation in the future)

Thank you

Kürthy, Gyöngyi

Research Institute for Argricultural Economics

gyongyi@aki.gov.hu



'ASSESSING THE CONTRIBUTION OF RDPS TO A COMPETITIVE AND VIABLE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR' 9-10 DECEMBER 2020, ONLINE)