

Q&A: Budget code structure

Questions collected at GREX M&E Meeting, 17th September 2021

Disclaimer

This draft has not been adopted or endorsed by the European Commission. Any views expressed are the preliminary views of the Commission services and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the Commission. The information transmitted is intended only for the Member State or entity to which it is addressed for discussions and may contain confidential and/or privileged material.

MS	Question	Commission´s answer
DE - Bavaria	<p>The new ABB code includes 27 digits according to the PowerPoint presentation. The new code is divided into 3 areas. Is the 27-digit code mandatory for all declarations to the Commission (e.g. table 104, EAFRD declaration of expenditure, performance report)?</p> <p><i>(Der neue ABB-Code umfasst gemäß der PowerPoint-Präsentation 27 Stellen. Hierbei gliedert sich der neue Code in 3 Bereiche. Ist bei allen Meldungen an die Kommission (z.B. Tabelle 104, ELER-Ausgabenerklärung, Leistungsbericht) der 27-stellige Code verpflichtend anzugeben?)</i></p>	<p>The Commission proposes the budget codes to be used for any structured submission of data such as a declaration of expenditure (monthly, quarterly, annual), annual performance report, WTO reporting etc., for both EAGF and EAFRD. The alignment with the proposed structure could allow harmonisation and correct identification of the expenditure.</p> <p>The 27-digit code will be mandatory for submitting the declarations of expenditure and annual accounts.</p> <p>The proposed structure of codes ensures the necessary breakdown of expenditure declared under the CAP Strategic Plan (CSP) For all other EAGF expenditure outside the CSP as well as for assigned revenue the current, 15 digits long detailed budget nomenclature remains in use</p>
DE - Bavaria	<p>The ‘sub-strand’ breakdown from the CAP Strategic Plan includes the output indicator. Do I think it is correct that this must always be indicated on a mandatory basis?</p> <p><i>(Im „Teilbereich“ Aufschlüsselung aus dem CAP Strategieplan ist der Output-Indikator enthalten. Sehe ich es richtig, dass dieser immer verpflichtend anzugeben ist?)</i></p>	See above.
DE - Bavaria	<p>With regard to the indication ‘amount per unit’, I have problems with the form of presentation. An amount is certainly not to be reported here. Does the Commission have a key list for this information (e.g. 1 = amounts between EUR 0,01 and EUR 50, 2 = EUR 50,01 to EUR 100...).</p> <p><i>(Bei der Angabe „Betrag pro Einheit“ habe ich</i></p>	Codes for unit amounts will be generated by SFC based on the CAP Strategic Plan. The code will not depend on the nominal value of the unit amount, as provided in your example, but on Member State decision on how many unit amounts it wants to plan for each intervention.

	<i>Probleme bezüglich der Form der Darstellung. Ein Betrag ist hier sicherlich nicht anzugeben. Gibt es für diese Angabe ein Schlüsselverzeichnis seitens der EU-KOM (z.B. 1 = Beträge zwischen 0,01 und 50 €, 2 = 50,01 € bis 100 € ...)</i>	
DE - Bavaria	What is meant by the description “alignment in the structure of the budget code”? <i>(Was ist unter der Beschreibung „Angleichung in der Struktur des Haushaltscodes“ zu verstehen?)</i>	The alignment in the budget code structure means that different types of expenditure (sectors, direct payments, rural development) will have the same length and the position of the codes with the same meaning, which is not the case now. So for example if a certain digit has to be filled in for rural development but that type of information is not applicable for the sectors, that digit will have the value “0” for the sectors.
DE - Bavaria	Is there a coding key from the Commission for the item “Type of action for WTO reporting”? <i>(Gibt es für den Punkt „Art der Maßnahme für die WTO-Berichterstattung“ einen Codierungsschlüssel seitens der Kommission?)</i>	DG AGRI will propose a list and this will also be displayed in SFC. The draft list can be found in annex.
BG	Shouldn't there be a Contribution rate code (CC) for the sectoral interventions, like for wine, for example, since the EU Funds contribution is different for the different interventions, as with the Rural development types of interventions.	No, it is not needed to have a contribution rate code for the sectoral interventions

Annex I

Draft predefined numbering of WTO budget codes CAP Reform

Amber Box

- 01 all that is not covered by Blue or Green Box, including "CISS not respecting Article 6,5"

Blue Box - Article 6,5 of Agreement on Agriculture

- 02 Coupled support under production limiting programmes

Green box - Annex 2 of Agreement on agriculture

General Service

- 03 Research - Article 2 (a) of Agreement on Agriculture
- 04 Pest and disease control - Article 2 (b)
- 05 Training services - Article 2 (c)
- 06 Extension and advisory services - Article 2 (d)
- 07 Inspection services (health safety grading or standardisation purposes) - Article 2 (e)
- 08 Marketing and promotion - Article 2 (f)
- 09 Infrastructural services - Article 2 (g)
- 10 Public Stockholding for food security purposes - Article 3
- 11 Domestic food aid - Article 4
- 12 Direct Payments to farmers (Decoupled without entitlements) Article 5
- 13 Decoupled Income support (with entitlements) - article 6
- 14 Financial participation in income insurance and income safety net programmes - Article 7
- 15 Crop insurance schemes for relief from natural disasters - Article 8 (ONLY if **minimum 30% loss or more**)
- 16 Structural adjustment assistance provided through **producer** retirement programmes - Article 9
- 17 Structural adjustment assistance provided through **resource** retirement programmes - Article 10
- 18 Structural adjustments assistance provided through investment aids - Article 11
- 19 Payments under environmental programmes - Article 12
- 20 Payments under regional assistance programmes - Article 13