

The role of Forests in the frame of future EU Climate Change strategies *European Commission, DG CLIMA*

Oskar LARSSON



Directorate-General for Climate Action, Unit A.2: Climate Finance and Deforestation

Oskar.LARSSON@ec.europa.eu



1. EU climate policy and forest

- 2. Role of land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF) in climate policy
- 3. Conditions
- 4. What stakeholders think
- 5. Timing
- 6. Concluding comments



1. EU Climate Policy



Objective

• Keep global temperature increase below 2°C above preindustrial levels

Short term measures

- EU Climate & Energy package:
 - Directive amending EU ETS to contribute to 20% GHG emission reductions
 - Decision to share efforts outside EU ETS for emission reductions
 - Directive to reach 20% renewable energy by 2020
 - Directive on legal framework for carbon capture and storage
- If conditions are right, step up reduction efforts from 20% to 30% below 1990 by 2020.





Long term

- Reduce emissions by 80-95% by 2050
- Communication from COM (March 2011): A Roadmap for moving to a competitive low carbon economy in 2050
 - Cost-efficient pathway: 25% reduction in 2020, 40% in 2030 and 60% in 2040
 - Highlights importance of agriculture and forestry for preserving and sequestering carbon, and for providing feedstocks for industry and energy uses



DG CLIMA forestry policy responsibilities

- Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation (REDD+)
 - Responsible for about **17%** of anthropogenic GHG emissions
 - Scope is developing countries
 - EU objectives to halve gross tropical deforestation by 2020 and reach a halt by 2030
 - No link to the EU's domestic GHG emission reduction targets
- Land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF)



2. The role of LULUCF in climate policy



Present and future role of forest resources in the socio-economic development of rural areas

Rome, 24th June 2011 Plenary Session



LULUCF – a GHG inventory sector

Does not include CH4 and N2O from soils, manure and livestock in agriculture

Does not include substitution benefits



- LULUCF not part of 2008 agreement on 20% reduction commitment:
 - Insufficient and uncertain international accounting rules
 Limited assessment of the potential role of the sector
- However, 'all sectors of the economy should contribute to achieving the emissions reductions'
- Provisions therefore included in Climate and Energy Package; COM required to
 - assess how to include emissions and removals related to LULUCF in the EU
 - as appropriate, put forward a proposal





Omission

LULUCF net removals amount to 8% of the EU's total GHG budget

Distribution

The relative importance of the sector varies significantly across Member States





Present and future role of forest resources in the socio-economic development of rural areas

Rome, 24th June 2011 Plenary Session





Mitigation options in land use activities include:

- 1. **C removals/sink** (new forests, increased and preserved C stocks in existing forests)
- 2. Reduction of emissions (reduce deforestation and forest degradation)
- 3. **C substitution** (renewable source of energy replacing fossil fuel, wood replacing more GHG-intensive products) links with other sectors



all solid waste)





Notation key: + Cropland management, ▲ Deforestation, – Grazing land management, ♦ Afforestation, and ■ Forest management. Unconnected symbols indicate reported data and connected symbols indicate model projections.



- Rationale for including LULUCF in climate change commitments:
 - Environmental integrity. Comprehensive coverage, correct reflection and equal treatment of different mitigation activities in accounting
 - Policy coherence. EU 2020 Strategy, "all sectors to contribute", Common Agricultural Policy, Renewable Energy Directive, International / UNFCCC process...
 - Economic efficiency. Potential losses if efforts from sectors do not count; e.g. Low carbon roadmap for 2050 shows needs for increasing efforts



3. Conditions



- Climate and energy package specifies criteria: harmonised modalities ensuring <u>permanence</u> and environmental integrity, accurate <u>accounting</u> rules and accurate <u>monitoring</u>
- Ensure robust monitoring
 - Potential to improve exists, for instance live up to recommendations in IPCC guidelines:
 - Accuracy: Key categories / higher methodological tiers
 - Completeness: Land-use categories, activities and carbon pools



- Ensure robust accounting
 - For instance:
 - Give an accurate reflection of human-induced changes in emissions / removals
 - Ensure extensive coverage of emissions and removals
 - Provide incentives for mitigation, but avoid bias to any particular measure
 - Limit risk of non-compliance from large natural disturbances



- Define an appropriate **policy** context
 - For instance, to deal with:
 - Non-permanence
 - Inter-annual variability
 - Monitoring and reporting

Example: Year-on-year fluctuations in emissions and removals (in forests remaining forests) over the period 1990-2008 as a percentage of 2008 emissions in the nontrading sectors (the pattern is similar or even more pronounced relative to the ETS emissions)



Each dot represents the flucutation of emissions / removals in a Member State in a given year



4. What stakeholders think



General points

- Land use activities can / should contribute to mitigating climate change; increase uptake of CO2 in forests, use of biomass for substitution of GHG intensive materials and energy sources (fossil fuels)
- Majority responded that LULUCF should be part of the EU's GHG emissions reduction target in 2020
- The majority of respondents also suggested that forestry activities should be accounted for on a mandatory basis
- About half or less wanted accounting for GHG associated with some agricultural activities to be mandatory



- Inclusion / accounting through national compliance, is a separate framework or the Effort Sharing Decision, was favoured.
- Only a small share of the respondents to the public consultation and none of the MSs wanted the sector to form part of the EU ETS.
- Finally, the majority agreed that there is a need for further harmonisation and standardisation between MSs in terms of monitoring, reporting and verification of emissions and removals.



5. Timing



Consultations 2010-2011

Expert Group under the European Climate Change Programme, Public consultation, MS consultation, Stakeholder meeting. For more info, see http://ec.europa.eu/clima/events/0029/index_en.htm

- Impact assessment autumn 2011
- Communication autumn 2011
- Proposal as appropriate



6. Concluding comments



- Climate policy framework in place, forestry will become more important – both in the EU (LULUCF) and globally (REDD+)
- Land uses can / should contribute in several ways, a level playing field important
- Preference for a policy framework which is separate from those currently regulating the 2020 targets
- Comprehensive accounting needed, and more accurate rules than under the Kyoto Protocol
- Gradual improvements in monitoring and reporting
- COM Communication in autumn, legislative proposal as appropriate



Thank you for your attention