



LEADER Cooperation 2014-2020 - Draft Guidance Document -

(as presented to the Rural Development Committee on 16 October 2013)

DG AGRI G1

11th LEADER sub-committee, 11 November 2013



Findings and recommendations of the ENRD LEADER Focus Group on Transnational Cooperation (2010):

- LAGs need time and opportunities to engage, meet possible partners and understand what could be the goal of common learning
 - → Technical support for preparatory work needed
- Too many differences in requirements and application timing throughout the RDPs; too many differences as regards eligibility of costs
 - → Information on national rules and procedures should be shared; ENRD and NRNs should make available existing models experiences, practices



LEADER Cooperation 2014-2020

- LEADER as part of CLLD offered by the 4 ESI-Funds: Cooperation is an integral part of CLLD, but only the EAFRD and the EMFF have specific provisions for cooperation
- The support to co-operation is a mandatory element of the LEADER measure in the RDPs (wording of Art. 31 Common Provisions Regulation).



(see Draft Guidance document)

- Interterritorial and transnational cooperation
- Wide geographical scope of possible cooperation partners of EAFRD/LEADER LAGs: other LAGs or "groups of public and private partners implementing a local development strategy" (Art. 44 (2) RD Reg.)
 - from within the EU: from all types of areas
 - from outside the EU: only from rural areas



(see Draft Guidance document)

- Preparatory technical support becomes a mandatory element of the support to cooperation, in order to boost the uptake of cooperation activities of LAGs
 - Costs related to exchange of experience; project development costs (recommendation in guidance)
 - LAG has to demonstrate that it envisages to imperent a concrete* project (legal obligation)

(*identification of the objectives/character of a planned project; no obligation to carry out the project)



(see Draft Guidance document)

"What does the cooperation have to consist in?"

- Cooperation project: a <u>concrete activity with</u> <u>clearly identified deliverables</u> producing benefits for the territories
- Projects <u>might also be focused on capacity</u> <u>building and transfer of experience on local</u> <u>development</u>
- Criteria for selection to be set in the LDS or on RDP level (depending on system);



(see Draft Guidance document)

Project selection

- Recommendation that LAGs can include cooperation activities in their local development strategies → This can reach from specific activities to a more comprehensive cooperation strategy, depending on the needs identified in the SWOT analysis
- Alternatively, selection of cooperation projects may also be undertaken by the Managing Authority (Art. 30 (5) CPR).



(see Draft Guidance document)

Recommendations on financing the support to cooperation:

- to reserve a specific amount of the budget for the LEADER measure in each RDP for cooperation activities
 - → LAGs need to get assurance as regards the feasibility of their planned projects
- to secure a budget for national co-financing for cooperation throughout the funding period
 - → The development of cooperation projects needs time.
- to make "common costs" eligible (if necessary)



Reduction of delays 2014-2020

- Obligation for the MA to establish a system of ongoing application in cases where cooperation projects are not selected by the LAG → guarantee of continuous access to funding for cooperation
 - Calls should be organised often enough to not hinder the implementation of projects (partners are funded by different RDPs / are from different MS): 3-4 calls per year?



Reduction of delays 2014-2020

- Obligation of Managing Authority to decide on the allocation of funding within 4 months after the date of submission of the project
- Recommended to find ways for giving for instance provisional approval waiting for the approval of other Managing Authorities with a reasonable time frame
- Specifically for TNC: obligation to make public the national or regional administrative procedures concerning the selection of TNC projects and a list of eligible costs at the latest two years after the date of the approval of their RDPs



Ensuring the follow-up of TNC on European level 2014-2020

- Obligation of Member State to communicate the approvals of TNC projects to the Commission (as before)
 - → offers at the same time a platform for exchange of information between Member States involved in the same TNC project

It is planned to improve the current notification system....



Role of ENRD and NRNs 2014-2020

• ENRD:

- clear mandate to support both transnational initiatives and the national rural networks as regards LEADER cooperation
- to cooperate with the networking and technical support bodies for CLLD set up by the other ESI-Funds, also specially as regards transnational cooperation



Role of ENRD and NRNs 2014-2020

- National Rural Networks (NRNs):
 - very specific focus on the provision of technical assistance and facilitation of cooperation activities of LAGs
 - → This can be done through different training and technical tools, but can also include the provision of individual or collective consultancy or mentoring



Final recommendations

(see Draft Guidance Document)

- to give priority in the LDS selection procedure to LAGs which have integrated cooperation into their local development strategies
- to make efforts to harmonise the procedures and definitions for LEADER cooperation as far as possible, exchanging actively with other MS (or within a MS)
- The use of other tools for territorial cooperation offered by the ESI-Funds, notably the ETC programmes financed by the ERDF (INTERREG), can be a complementary asset and create synergies, always having in mind the different scope and size of projects implemented





Thank you very much for your attention!