RDP'S EVALUATION PLAN: THE ITALIAN EXPERIENCE FROM 2007-2013 TO 2014-2020

Vincenzo Angrisani 'A journey through evaluation plans: Learning from past experiences for the future CAP' 28-29 June 2021 A weak evaluative culture undermines many attempts at building an effective evaluation and results management regime

Building an evaluative culture for effective evaluation and results management

John Mayne

The NRN and its changing role

Documents to steer the MAs on how to organise evaluation

Events to enable dialogue between actors and define best evaluation design





Some Italian experiences in RDPs 14-20



GUIDELINES ESTABLISHING AND IMPLEMENTING THE EVALUATION PLAN OF 2014-2020 RDPS

DRAFT NOVEMBER 2013

This draft document has been drawn up on the basis of the proposals for Regisfactors after the positional agreement on the reform of the common agricultural policy reached on 24 September 2013. It does not prejudge the final nature of the Regulations, nor of any related delegated or implementing act. Feedback on the content of the draft guidance is welcome Phase send remarks, questions and suggestions to info@fumatevaluation.com

Governance & coordination

Evaluation topics & activities

Communication

Governance & Coordination (1) Piemonte



In-house Independent Evaluator \rightarrow a less formal and closer relation with the MA which enabled:

Easiest access to monitoring data

Working on the implementation of the **monitoring** system

Participating to **technical meetings** (understanding dynamics not stemming from data)

Governance & Coordination (2) Lombardia

- Synergy with competent authorities, e.g. environment
- Coordination with other ESI Funds MAs and Independent Evaluators on transversal evaluation topics (e.g. Inner Areas)
- Shared understanding of recommendations between MA and Independent Evaluator

Governance & Coordination (3) Toscana



A **quality check** is applied on data, and on the whole Evaluation process..

the Independent Evaluator drafts a **Concept Note** (Structuring & Observing) on which the Evaluation Report will be developed (Analysing & Judging)

Take away points (Governance & Coordination)



• In-house evaluator enables a smoother process

- Involvement of technical units (e.g. Environmental Agency)
- Identification of quality criteria enables mutual capacity building

Evaluation topics & activities (1) Molise



EP links evaluation activities with RDP implementation:

defines the **timing** of the different activities

establishes a "quality control" system

draws up "Internal Evaluation Plan"

Evaluation topics & activities (2) Toscana



1/2 of evaluation budget dedicated to support LEADER evaluation

LAGs receive specific coaching support from the Independent Evaluator

Take away points (Evaluation topics & activities)



- Linking activities to implementation for more robust evaluation findings
- **Tendering** to different evaluators for different approaches/methodologies
- Use evaluation for knowledge building

Communication Campania & Veneto





In **Campania** communication events after any evaluation report

http://www.agricoltura.regione.campania.it/psr_2014_2020/monitoraggio.html



In **Veneto** the 2019 evaluation results were disseminated with an ad hoc event aimed also at launching themes for the CAP post 2020

https://psrveneto.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Risultati-PSR-Veneto-2018.pdf

Take away points (Communication)



 Disseminating report to the large audience for awareness raising

- Enabling the communicative mindset of the
 Independent Evaluator
- Ad hoc large events as leverage for transparent
 (re)programming

Some Italian food for future thought



Improve evaluation culture:

a more dynamic and adaptive EP;

a shared ownership of the EP

disseminate results

knowledge sharing

Thank you for your attention