

WORKING DOCUMENT

1. GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE APPROVED RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Member State: Germany, Land HESSEN

Programme: Third amendment of the Hessian Rural Development Programme for the support period 2007-2013 approved by the Commission C (2007)4040 of 5. September 2007 (CCI 2007 DE 06 PDR 010), amended by

- first RDP modification approved by COM decision C(2009)4075 of 19/05/2009

- second RDP modification according to Article 6 (1) c) of Regulation (EC) n° 1974/2006 – approval letter launched.

2. LEGAL BASIS FOR THE CHANGE

Third RDP modification, related to the first implementation of Article 16a of Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005 and combined with an increase of the total EAFRD contribution for the entire programme period, a budget transfer between axes going beyond the flexibility ceiling of 1% of the total EAFRD contribution and a change of the Community co-financing rate for agri-environment measures matching the new challenges according to Article 16a R 1698/2005. According to Article 6 (1) a) and Article 7 of R 1974/2006, this revision needs to be approved by Commission decision. Furthermore, the revision is combined with other amendments according to Article 6 (1) c) of R 1974/2006.

The revision has been approved by the Monitoring Committee in the Monitoring Committee on 9 June 2009.

3. REASONS JUSTIFYING THE AMENDMENT / STRATEGY CHOSEN

Strategy

Broadband

Efficient broadband connections are still not sufficient in rural areas of Hessen. That is the reason why Hessen makes use of a number of different support possibilities in order to improve this situation. Hessen has established in 2006 a special national broadband support concept aiming to ensure efficient broadband connections in rural areas for private and commercial persons (minimum 1 megabit/sec). This concept is implemented with a support via the Federal support programmes "GAK" for agricultural structures with about 2 Million € for the period 2008-2010 and for regional economics with about 1 Million € per anno as well as from 2010 with further national money of 0.7 Million € Necessary support in projects in rural areas will be served by the Federal programme for support for

regional economics whereas regions outside the rural areas will be supported via national money.

Furthermore, Hessen also supports via the EFRD programme regional activities linked to special advisory services on broadband, with a budget volume of 1 Million € from 2009 to 2013.

Apart from broadband connections, there are also different pilot projects with other technologies (wireless transmissions) under experimentation notably to cover areas with very low population and large distances.

Thus, Hessen does not intend for the moment to include further support possibilities in its RDP.

Set-aside and Biodiversity

At the end of 2007, an area of about 37,000 ha was under obligatory set-aside, of which half of the area was used for the production of renewable energy and only 18,000 ha were de facto non-used for production. Regarding the agri-environmental effects, these areas surely contributed to the objectives of biodiversity of fauna and flora but in a more limited way, as the selection of these areas was done under economical aspects rather than nature protection aspects. Furthermore, the yearly rotation of these set-aside areas did not really help to reinforce the environmental effects.

With the Hessian RDP 2007 – 2013, a concept has already been introduced to go against the loss of biodiversity by improving the situation on a large area of about 106.000 ha via support for:

- _ the introduction and maintenance of organic farming,
- _ the cultivation of catch crops or under-sown crops on arable land,
- _ the cultivation of flowering area/strips and conservation strips as well as
- _ extensive permanent pasture.

Especially the selection of the eligible areas under the aspects of high nature value and biodiversity of fauna, leads to a more precise and efficiently targeted implementation of these AEM with an increased quality effect regarding environment.

Furthermore, with measure 227 and the support of non productive investments in forests, supplementary forest areas of about 18.000 ha are aiming to contribute to the objective of biodiversity.

With the first RDP modification, Natura2000 measure 213 has been introduced aiming to contribute to biodiversity notably in special -fertiliser sensitive- grassland biotopes on a targeted area of 10.000 ha.

With the second RDP modification (pre HC modification, targeted to prepare already the implementation of the new challenges), some important changes and amendments have been introduced for the four AEM described above in order to improve the efficiency of these measures in view of the environmental effects and the acceptance by the farmers:

- "cultivation of catch crops or under-sown crops on arable land" has been restricted to "winter greening", which also contributes to the new challenges including biodiversity.
- "cultivation of flowering area/strips and conservation strips" has been restricted with new eligible conditions because this measure had previously been

implemented notably on former compulsory set-aside areas. After the abolition of compulsory set-aside, some further restrictions for the seed components have been made combined with an increase of aid amounts in order to improve the environmental effects.

- "extensive permanent pasture" has been opened to further combination possibilities with special conservation services combined with an increase of the maximum aid amount and
- "environmentally friendly steep-slope winegrowing" has been introduced, which is especially targeted to improve biodiversity.

With the third RDP modification, the aid amount for introduction of organic farming has been increased in order to give a certain incentive and to facilitate the conversion process. The targeted area has been raised by further 10,000 ha.

Overall, the RDP measures aiming to improve the new challenge of biodiversity will be implemented on about 144,000 ha, of which about 18,000 ha in forest and about 126,000 ha on agricultural land and thus, on 16 % of the total agricultural used area.

The increase of targeted area and the new measure amendments as well as the new measures as such will get a supplementary EAFRD contribution of 10,4 Million EUR.

Additionally, a number of measures aiming to improve biodiversity are offered with national support only and outside the RDP. These measures concern for example:

- Land purchase of special areas with high nature value
- Improvement of structures and maintenance of nature protected parks and mayor nature protection projects
- Support of the biotope „Rhön“ and of fauna and flora according to the Hessian Nature Protection Law or
- Support of special Life+ projects such as „Wetterauer Trockeninseln“.

In total, an additional area of around 100,000 ha agricultural land is concerned by those nationally supported measures and projects.

Health Check (HC) and Recovery Package (RP)

Due to the transfer of modulation as well as non-used money from EAGF to EAFRD in the framework of the HC decisions, the EU decision on the RP and according to the revised National Strategy Plan of Germany, an amount of 28 654 375 €EAFRD contribution (HC) plus 3 862 991 €EAFRD contribution (RP), total amount of 32 517 366 €, has been distributed to the German Land Hessen. This money has to be used for the new challenges listed in Article 16a (1) of R 1698/2005 from January 2010 to 2013 and/or - regarding RP money- for measures accompanying restructuring in the dairy sector and/or broadband investments from January 2009 to 2013.

As the targets and objectives of the “new challenges” did always play an important role regarding the implementation of agri-environment measures, the Hessian RDP contains already a significant number of measures and sub-measures meeting these

new challenges (including those new measures being introduced by the pre-HC modification). Thus, Hessian will use the HC as well as the RP money for those existing measures matching particularly the new challenges as well as for an additional new AE sub-measure introduced within the HC modification of the RDP.

Conclusion: an overall amount of 32.5 million €EU contribution stemming from HC and RP will be put into measure 214 and is foreseen for measures matching particularly the new challenges.

On an indicative basis, about 2.8 Million EUR (~8.6 %) are allocated to measures aiming especially to improve water management and about 25.3 Million EUR (~77.6 %) to measures aiming especially to improve biodiversity. An allocation of about 4.5 Million EUR (~13.8%) is foreseen to support extensive management of certain pasture plots as a measure accompanying the re-structuring of the milk sector.

Overall, budget allocation to axis 2 has a significant share of about 57 % of the total EAFRD amount and quasi half of this budget has been allocated to AEM 214. As the range of existing AEM is already widely spread covering a large part of the agricultural and forest area and as Hessen has already introduced several new and/or amended AE sub-measures with a pre-HC modification, Hessen is somewhat limited in further enlarging the relevant AEM. Thus, only one supplementary AEM sub-measure has been introduced within the HC modification.

Due to the

- Introduction of the sub-measure “environmental friendly wine production in steep slopes” (by the 2nd RDP modification) and new sub-measure “mulching or direct tillage on arable land” (by this 3rd RDP modification) as well as
- Increase of the aid amount for special nature protection measure for extensive pasture (by the 2nd RDP modification) and changes of the eligibility criteria for several existing measures such as special support for the first conversion into organic farming (by this 3rd RDP modification)

the Hessian Authorities expect a higher budget need of about 17.2 million €EU contribution for measure 214 by taking into account an increased rate of EU contribution (from 50% to 75%) for the HC and RP money.

Thus, in a second step, available money of about 15.3 million €EU contribution should be transferred to those measures needing more support. These measures are notably:

- 121 Modernisation of agricultural holdings (additional 6 million €)
- 212 Compensation payments in less favoured areas (additional 9.31 million €).

Budget correction due to a new distribution system of the EU budget among the German Länder

According to the revised National Strategy Plan of Germany, Hessen will have a reduction of – 25 689 €EAFRD contribution for the existing modulation money and thus, the new EAFRD contribution for this non convergence region is fixed to 218 346 763 EUR for the support period 2007-2013.

The balance between axes will slightly change compared to the approved programme notably because the HC and RP budget will not count for the balance calculation. The new balance is as follows:

Axis 1 – 29.7 %
Axis 2 – 52.4 % (plus HC and RP money)
Axis 3 – 6.3 % (15.6 % incl. measure 413) and
Axis 4 - 10.3 %
TA - 1.3%

The minimum balances according to Article 17 of R 1698/2005 are respected.

Text of the RDP

All proposed amendments are reflected in chapter 3 of the RDP.

DESCRIPTION OF THE AMENDMENTS PROPOSED

- A) Health Check and Recovery Package - Types of operations matching the new challenges according to Article 6 (1) a) and Article 7 (1) b), c) and d) of Regulation 1974/2006
- B) Further amendments proposed according to Article 6 (1) a) and Article 7 (1) a) of Regulation 1974/2006
- C) Other amendments proposed according to Article 6 (1) c) and Article 9 of Regulation 1974/2006

A) HEALTH CHECK AND RECOVERY PACKAGE - TYPES OF OPERATIONS MATCHING THE NEW CHALLENGES

Description of amendments proposed

- Injection of an overall amount of 32 517 366 €EU contribution (HC 28 654 375 €RP 3 862 991 €) into measure 214
- Increase of the Community co-financing rate to 75% for the measures matching the "new challenges", up to the amount of the additional HC and RP money.
- Introduction of a new AE sub-measure as well as amendments to existing AEM measures.

Overview of the measures matching particularly the new challenges:

	Type of operation	Potential effects	New Existing	Reference for the description of the type of operation in the RDP
Measure 214 Article 39: agri-environment payments	Water Management			
	Soil management practices (e.g. catch crops, organic farming, conversion of arable land into permanent pasture)	Contribution to the reduction of losses of different compounds to water, including phosphorus	New	214 F: Mulching or direct tillage on arable land
	Biodiversity			
	Integrated and organic production	Conservation of species-rich vegetation types, protection and maintenance of grassland	E	214 A: Introduction or maintenance of organic farming
	Soil management practices (e.g. tillage methods, catch crops, diversified crop rotation)	Conservation of species-rich vegetation types, reducing entry of harmful substances in bordering habitats	E	214 B: Cultivation of catch crops or under-sown crops on arable land or winter greening
	Perennial field and riparian boundary stripes biobeds	Conservation of species-rich vegetation types, Protection of bird and other wildlife and improvement of biotope network, reducing entry of harmful substances in bordering habitats,	E	214 C Cultivation of flowering areas/strips or conservation strips:
	Management of high nature value perennials	Protection of bird and other wildlife and improvement of biotope network, reducing entry of harmful substances in bordering habitats	E	214 E Environmental friendly wine growing in steep slopes
Measures accompanying restructuring of the dairy sector				
	Extensive grassland management	Enhancement of the positive environmental effects of the dairy sector	E	214 D Extensive management of certain pasture plots in order to reduce inputs or to apply certain grazing methods

See complete Table 5.3.6 with indicators (in DE) attached as annex.

General:

The expected impacts of the amendment

The importance and the environmental effects of all six sub-measures under AEM 214 will be reinforced and contribute efficiently to the targets and objectives of the "new challenges". The indicators are adapted accordingly and listed in table 5.3.6.; furthermore, the effects and indicators are described in detail under each measure descriptions.

Financial effects

- Increase of the total Community contribution from 218 346 763 € to 250 864 129 € for the entire programming period without change of contributions for past years.
- Increase of the Community co-financing rate from 50% to 75% for the measures matching the "new challenges", up to the amount of the additional HC and RP money

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for the priority 2.

Text of the RDP

All proposed amendments are reflected in chapter 5.3 of the RDP and in the financial tables as presented in the annex of the working document.

Introduction of a new AE sub-measure

Agri-environmental measure 214 F) Mulching or direct tillage on arable land according to the NF 4.2.1.4. A.3

Reasons and implementation problems that justify the change:

Mulching or direct tillage on arable land is a soil management leading to reduce soil erosion and soil compaction. Furthermore, it leads to carbon sequestration, enhances soil fertility and increases the number of soil organisms. Thus the measure contributes especially to the objectives of the Water Framework Directive and consequently, to the "new challenges".

A.	Object Support for the application of mulching or direct tillage on arable land – according to the NF 4.2.1.4. A3
B.	Beneficiaries Farmers and land user – according to the NF
C.	Type, scope and amount of aid 55 EUR/ha according to the NF
D.	Conditions for granting aid According to the NF but with special conditions for eligible areas in Hessen. Eligible areas must be: - related to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive or have a risk of erosion according to annex III of R 73/2009 or - subject to a regional agri-environment concept
E.	The basic requirements of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 (cross compliance) as well as the further conditions according to the NF in chapter 4.2.1.4.1 have to be respected. Indicators: Contracts: 30 000 Supported area: 45.000 Area contributing to water quality: 30.000 soil quality: 15 000 biodiversity: 15 000

Expected effects:

A major uptake of this sub-measure is expected. The mulching or direct tillage application in the special areas should lead to significantly lower the risk of erosions and prevents nitrogen inputs.

Output, result and impact indicators have been set up and are described in detail in the modification request and the RDP text.

Consistency with the national strategy and with the regional programme strategy:

The main aim of this sub-measure is to maintain or improve the environmental situation in the countryside. Thus, the new sub-measure is in line with the objectives of the Health Check as well as with the aims of Germany's national strategy plan and the regional programme strategy.

Financial impact:

Injection of additional HC money of 2.275 million € EU contribution.

Text of the RDP:

The proposed amendment is reflected in the measure description 214 F of the RDP text.

Amendments to existing AE sub-measures

Agri-environmental measure 214 A)

Organic farming according to the NF 4.2.1.4. C

Reasons and implementation problems that justify the change:

In Hessen, organic farming is developing more and more into an attractive alternative to traditional farming methods and the demands of consumers for products produced in organic farming methods are very good and constantly increasing. Thus, organic farming is developing into a realistic alternative notably for milk producing farms with growing demands for the introduction of organic farming. In order to better support the restructuring process of the milk sector, the aid amount for the first conversion of arable land into organic farm land, which is actually at the same amount as for maintenance, should be increased; this should give a certain incentive and facilitate the conversion process. Organic farming also contributes to the objectives of the "new challenges" biodiversity, water management and climate change.

Description of the change:

Increase of aid amounts:

	Introduction	Maintenance
Arable land/permanent pastures	210€/ha (old 160€/ha)	170€/ha (old 160€/ha)
Vegetables growing	480€/ha (old 320€/ha)	360€/ha (old 320€/ha)

Expected effects (indicators):

It is expected that the change will lead to an increase of contracts from 1520 to 1850 and a supplementary up-take on further 10 000 ha and thus, the total target area will be 72000 ha.

Output, result and impact indicators have been set up and are described in detail in the modification request and the RDP text.

Consistency with the national strategy and with the regional programme strategy:

The main aim of this sub-measure is to maintain or improve the environmental situation in the countryside and to indirectly support the restructuring process in the milk sector. Thus, the amended sub-measure is in line with the objectives of the Health Check as well as with the objectives of Germany's national strategy plan and the regional programme strategy.

Financial impact:

Injection of additional HC and RP money into measure 214.

Text of the RDP

The proposed amendment is reflected in the measure description 214 C of the RDP text.

Agri-environmental measure 214 D)

Extensive management on certain pasture plots according to the NF 4.2.1.4. B.3.1

Reasons and implementation problems that justify the change:

With the 2nd RDP modification request, Hessen has increased the payments for special conservation services to 250 €/ha in order to compensate the real costs and to ensure better environment effects by combinations of several of these special conservation actions with the basis measure. The measure should contribute to enhance the environmental effects of the milk sector.

As these increased payments will lead to a higher number of applications, a higher budget is required.

Description of the amendment:

Injection of additional HC and RP money into measure 214.

Expected effects of the amendment:

Enhancement of environmental effects by keeping certain pasture plots under extensive management. This avoids high nitrate and other harmful/damaging inputs into the soil and thus, contributes to improve the environmental impacts with regard to soil and water quality, climate change and biodiversity. Furthermore, the increase of the budget will allow to better meet the needs for compensation payments of this amended sub-measure.

Consistency with the national strategy and with the regional programme strategy:

The budget injection of HC and RP money is in line with the objectives of the Health Check as well as with the objectives of Germany's national strategy plan and the regional programme strategy.

Financial impact:

Injection of additional HC and RP money into measure 214.

Text of the RDP

The proposed amendment is reflected in the financial and the indicative financial table.

B) Other amendments proposed according to Article 6 (1) a) and Article 7 (1) a) of Regulation 1974/2006

Overview: budget transfers going beyond the flexibility ceiling of 1% of the total EAFRD amount

Transfer from existing budget 214 to:

- 121 Modernisation of agricultural holdings
- 212 Compensation payments in other less favoured areas

Transfer from 413 to:

- 125 land consolidation
- 227 non productive investments in forests

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

Due to changes of the eligibility criteria (121: change of selection criteria, 212: increase of compensation amounts, 125 A forest and 227 forest: higher budget need due to unexpected forest storm damages), the budgets for these measures need to be increased in order to be able to meet the objectives of these measures and to ensure proper implementation. On the other hand, the figures and uptake for measure 413 show that the budget is overestimated and should be lowered.

Description of the change

- transfer of 6 million €EAFRD budget from measure 214 to 121
- transfer of 9.31 million EAFRD budget from measure 214 to 212

Total amount of transfers of existing budget 214 to other measures: 15.31 million €

- transfer of 0.3 million €EAFRD budget from measure 413 to 125
- transfer of 0.7 million €EAFRD budget from measure 413 to 227

The expected impacts of the amendment

To better meet the objectives of these measures and to ensure proper implementation. Indicators have been adapted accordingly; details under each measure description.

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for the priorities 1, 2 and Leader.

Financial effects

Budget transfers between axes as well as within axis 2 of 16.31 million €Community contribution going beyond the flexibility ceiling of 1% of the total EAFRD amount.

Text of the RDP

All proposed amendments are reflected in the financial tables.

C) Other amendments proposed according to Article 6 (1) c) and Article 9 of Regulation 1974/2006

Overview: all measures and amendments according to the NF

- 121 Modernisation of agricultural holdings – budget increase
- 125 Land consolidation forest – budget increase due to unexpected forest damages
- 212 Compensation payments in other less favoured areas – budget increase due to the increase of compensation payment
- 227 Non productive investments in forests – budget increase due to unexpected forest damages
- Increase of aid intensity for three measures:
 - o 341 skills acquisition and animation with a view to preparing and implementing a local development strategy
 - o 421 Leader co-operation projects
 - o 431 running the local action group
- Amendment to chapter 8- reduction of additional national money

Text of the RDP

All proposed amendments are reflected in the relevant measure descriptions and the financial tables of the RDP.

Details

121 Modernisation of agricultural holdings according to the NF – budget increase

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

The increase of budget for investments in agricultural holdings should notably contribute to support the restructuring process of the milk sector, which has still the first priority regarding selection criteria for support. However, in case of decreasing demands in this sector, less priority production areas should also be taken into account in order to better meet the need for alternative income possibilities other than milk production.

Description of the change

- Efficient support of the restructuring process of the milk sector via budget increase

The expected impacts of the amendment

The eligible requests for support of investment projects can be met better and faster. Supplementary 120 projects/investments are expected to be supported with the additional budget..

Targeted indicators have been adapted accordingly.

Financial effects

- Budget increase of 6 million €EAFRD contribution (transfer of existing budget of 214)

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is done according to the NF and thus in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for axis 1.

125 Land consolidation -forest according to the NF – increase of budget

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

Due to the storm damages of “Kyrill and Emma” in 2006 and 2007, supplementary budget is needed in order to support necessary basis projects regarding forest infrastructure as a result of clearing work and wood transports. Thus, the budget should be increased.

Description of the change

- Increase of the budget

The expected impacts of the amendment

The requests for support of eligible projects can be met better and faster. An additional number of about 50 supportable projects is expected.

Indicators have been adapted accordingly.

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is done according to the NF and thus in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for the priority 1.

Financial effects

Increase of the amount of the Community contribution by 0,3 million € budget from measure 413.

212 Compensation payments in other less favoured areas according to the NF – increase of budget

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

With the 2nd RDP modification, the compensation payments have been increased from maximum 146/ha to 180€/ha. Thus, a budget increase is necessary in order to meet the eligible requests.

Description of the change

- Increase of the budget

The expected impacts of the amendment

It is expected that the original targets can be met with compensation payments, which better meet the necessary level of compensation need. It will notably help milk production farmers often farming in areas with a high level of area disadvantages.

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is done according to the NF and thus in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for the priority 1.

Financial effects

Increase of the amount of the Community contribution by 9.31 million € budget from measure 214.

Axis 3: Increase of aid intensity for three measures:

- **341 skills acquisition and animation with a view to preparing and implementing a local development strategy**
- **421 Leader co-operation projects**
- **431 running the local action group**

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

Experience of the last two years have shown that the aid intensity of 70% is not sufficient notably due to the

- non eligible VAT for the public bodies as beneficiaries of measure 341.
- high costs of necessary contact and coordination meetings in the preparation phase for measure 421 and
- high personal and organisational workload notably in the starting phase as well as the non eligible VAT for LAGs as public bodies for measure 431.

Thus, the aid intensity should be increased by maintaining the maximum aid amount per project.

Furthermore, a more precise presentation of the eligibility conditions for projects and meetings supports via measure 421 is necessary.

Description of the change

Increase of aid intensity from 70% to 80%.

The expected impacts of the amendment

It is expected that the increased aid intensities will give an incentive and lead to the targeted uptake.

Financial effects

None

Coherence with the NSP and the regional RDP strategy

The amendment is in line with the NSP as well as with the Hessian RDP strategy for the priorities 3 and 4.

Amendment to chapter 8

Reasons and implementation problems justifying the amendment

The additional national money (top-ups) for measures 121 and 214 is cut down to the same extend as national co-financing contribution is concerned due to the increase of EAFRD contribution.

Description of the amendment

Updating chapter 8 (in DE); see annex

5. THE EXPECTED IMPACTS OF THE AMENDMENTS

Descriptions to be found under each amendment

6. OVERVIEW FINANCIAL EFFECTS

- HC and RP: Injection of an overall amount of 32 517 366 € EU contribution into measure 214
- Existing budget: transfer of 6 million €EAFRD budget from measure 214 to 121.
- Existing budget: transfer of 9.31 million EAFRD budget from measure 214 to 212
- Existing budget: transfer of 0.3 million €EAFRD budget from measure 413 to 125
- Existing budget: transfer of 0.7 million €EAFRD budget from measure 413 to 227

The financial tables have been established taking into account the amendment proposals.

7. ASSESSMENT

- I. Justification and description confirm that amendments are in line with the National Strategy Plan and –for the measures concerned- with the National Framework as well as with the regional programme strategy. The delimitations to the Structural Funds and the Common Market Organisation Programmes funded under the CMO in the sugar, fruit & vegetable and wine sector are set up already in the approved RDP. Apart from a reduction of already approved additional national money (top-ups), which does not lead to state aid relevance, there are no new top-ups or other state aids proposed.
- II. The changes are in conformity with the Council Regulation (EC) N° 1698/2005 and with the Commission Regulation (EC) N° 1974/2006

Contact person: Roswitha KAGEL, DO F.3 Tel: 66927; email: Roswitha.kagel@ec.europa.eu

Annex: Table 5.3.6, financial tables and top-up table

Annex

5.3.6 Zusammenstellung der Art der Vorhaben, die auf Artikel 16a Abs. 3 Buchstabe a) der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1698/2005 und auf die in Artikel 69(5a) dieser Verordnung genannten Beträge Bezug nehmen

Schwerpunkt 2 Maßnahme 214 Teilmaßnahme	Art der Vorhaben	Potenzielle Wirkung	„Bestehende“ oder „neue“ Art des Vorhabens	Verweis auf die Be- schreibung der Vor- habensart im EPLR	Output-Indikator-Zielvorgabe
Priorität: Wasserwirtschaft					
214 F	Anwendung von Mulch- oder Direktsaat oder Mulchpflanzverfahren im Ackerbau	Beitrag zur verringerten Auswaschung ver- schiedener Verbindun- gen, einschließlich des P-Eintrags ins Wasser	neu	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.6	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 3.000 Gesamtförderfläche: 45.000 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 3.000
Priorität: Biologische Vielfalt					
214 A	Einführung bzw. Beibehal- tung eines ökologischen Anbauverfahrens im ge- samten Betrieb	Erhaltung artenreicher Vegetationstypen; Schutz und Pflege von Grünland	bestehend	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.1	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 1.850 Gesamtförderfläche: 72.000 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 1.850
214 B	Anbau von Zwischenfrüch- ten oder Untersaaten im Ackerbau oder Begrünung von Dauerkulturen/ Win- terbegrünung	Erhaltung artenreicher Vegetationstypen; reduzierter Eintrag von Schadstoffen in an- grenzenden Habitaten	bestehend	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.2	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 4.500 Gesamtförderfläche: 48.000 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 1.520
214 C	Anlage von Blühflächen oder Blüh- und Schonstrei- fen	Erhaltung artenreicher Vegetationstypen; Schutz von Vögeln u. a. Wildtieren und bes- sere Vernetzung von Biotopen; reduzierter Eintrag von Schadstof- fen in angrenzenden Habitaten	bestehend	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.3	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 2.000 Gesamtförderfläche: 6.000 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 2.000
214 E	Förderung des Umwelt schonenden Steillagen- weinbaus	reduzierter Eintrag von Schadstoffen in an- grenzenden Habitaten; Schutz von Vögeln u. a. Wildtieren und bes- sere Vernetzung von Biotopen	bestehend	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.5	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 190 Gesamtförderfläche: 297 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 180

Priorität: Maßnahmen zur Abfederung der Umstrukturierung des Milchsektors					
214 D	Extensive Bewirtschaftung von bestimmten Grünland- flächen	Erhöhung der Umwelt- freundlichkeit des Milchsektors	bestehend	Kapitel 5.3.2.1.4.4	Anzahl geförderter Landnutzer: 9.000 Gesamtförderfläche: 35.000 ha Gesamtzahl der Verträge: 9.000

Financial Table 6.1 – Annual contribution from the EAFRD (in EUR)

Year	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2007-2013 Total
Non convergence region	33.305.605	32.833.152	30.879.707	31.253.862	30.677.615	30.067.607	29.329.215	218 346 763
Additional money linked to Article 69 (5)a of R 1698/2005	–	–	2.272.348	5.010.811	6.755.903	8.396.265	10.082.039	32 517 366
Total	33.305.605	32.833.152	33.152.055	36.264.673	37.433.518	38.463.872	39.411.254	250 864 129

Financial plan 6.2– breakdown of EAFRD contribution by priorities (total period in EUR)

Priority	Public expenditures		
	Total amount	EAFRD contribution in %.	EAFRD
Priority 1	129.756.000	50,0	64.878.000
Priority 2	228.922.622	50,0	114.461.311
Priority 3	27.470.000	50,0	13.735.000
Priority 4	44.800.000	50,0	22.400.000
Technical Assistance	5.744.904	50,0	2.872.452
Total	436.693.526	50,0	218.346.763

Financial plan 6.3– breakdown by priorities for the additional EAFRD contribution according to Article 69 (5) of R 1698/2005 (total period in EUR)

Priority	Public expenditures		
	Total amount	EAFRD contribution in %.	EAFRD
Priority 1	0	0	0
Priority 2 Measure 214	43.356.488	75,0	32.517.366
Priority 3	0	0	0
Priority 4	0	0	0
Technical Assistance	0	0	0
Total	43.356.488	75,0	32.517.366

Table: additional national money (top-ups) (in EUR)

	Maßnahme / Schwerpunkt	Zusätzliche staatliche Beihilfen 2007 – 2013
121	Modernisierung landwirtschaftlicher Betriebe	6.845.000
123	Erhöhung Wertschöpfung land- und forstwirtschaftlicher Erzeugnisse	0
125	Verbesserung und Ausbau der Infrastruktur	12.200.000
	Schwerpunkt 1 insgesamt	19.045.000
212	Ausgleichszahlungen an Landwirte in benachteiligten Gebieten (nicht Berggebiete)	0
213	Zahlungen im Rahmen von NATURA 2000 und Zahlungen im Zusammenhang mit der Richtlinie 2000/60/EG	0
214	Agrarumweltmaßnahmen	48.341.126
226	Wiederaufbau des forstwirtschaftlichen Potenzials und vorbeugende Aktionen	0
227	Beihilfen für nichtproduktive Investitionen (naturnahe Waldbewirtschaftung)	0
	Schwerpunkt 2 insgesamt	48.341.126
311	Diversifizierung nichtlandwirtschaftlichen Tätigkeiten	0
312	Gründung und Entwicklung Kleinunternehmen	0
313	Förderung des Fremdenverkehrs	0
321	Dienstleistungseinrichtungen zur Grundversorgung	0
322	Dorferneuerung und -entwicklung	168.000.000
323	Erhaltung und Verbesserung des ländlichen Erbes	0
331	Berufsbildungs- und Informationsmaßnahmen	0
341	Maßnahmen zur Kompetenzentwicklung	0

	Schwerpunkt 3 insgesamt	168.000.000
41	Umsetzung von lokalen Entwicklungsstrategien	0
411	Verbesserung der Wettbewerbsfähigkeit	0
412	Verbesserung der Umwelt und der Landschaft	0
413	Lebensqualität/Diversifizierung	0
421	Gebietsübergreifende und transnationale Zusammenarbeit	0
431	Arbeit der Lokalen Aktionsgruppen	0
	Schwerpunkt 4 insgesamt	0
	Schwerpunkte 1, 2, 3 und 4 insgesamt	235.386.126