The rise of and contrasts between the ecoand bio-economy in agrifood networks and regional development



#### Ina Horlings and Terry Marsden

### Content

The food and sustainability challenge



- Ecological Modernisation as an underlying paradigm
- Dimensions of the bio- versus eco-economy
- Expressions and practices in agri-food networks
- Eco-economical pathways for regional development
- Conclusions and recommendations

### The food & sustainability challenge

- Population increase: 11 billion in 2050
- The food production has to increase with 50%; more than a billion people suffer from hunger



- Globalizing agri-food networks & large regional differences. International competition (land, biofuels, animal feed)
- Climate change, environmental problems and depletion of resources.
- Pleads for intensive productivism (The Royal Society).
- There is a need for 'a real green revolution' (Evans, 2009)

#### **Ecological Modernization**



- Origin: Combine ecological and economic goals with innovative technologies, science and strong state-influence (Huber, Jänicke, Toffler)
- We see a politico-institutional framing favouring the bio-economy, based on a narrow interpretation of EM in agri-food networks
- Narrow EM has some missing links: 1) social 2) cultural 2) political 3) spatial
- The results of EM as policy program are bureaucratisation, standardisation and a hygienic mode of regulation which excludes smallscale farmers

Eco-economy as the expression of 'real' EM

### Dimensions of the bio- and eco-economy in agri-food networks I

Dimensions	<b>Bio-economy</b>	<b>Eco-economy</b>
Economical	Corporatization Productivity (yield) oriented	Agri-food networks Integral approach of food security
Technological	Technology development economically driven	Technological generation as a demand-driven process
Ecological	Ecological and genetic engineering (industrial ecology)	Based on agro-ecological principles

### Dimensions of the bio- and ecoeconomy in agri-food networks

Social- cultural	Dependency, scientification, rational man-nature relation, loss of farmers freedom and agricultural employment	Sovereignty, Autonomy Synergy between man-nature Demand-driven research Labor-intensive
Spatial	Globalized Export-oriented Use of external resources	Locally embedded Endogeneigty Use of local resources
Political	Top-down steering One-direction communication by extension services Power of multinationals and large retailers	Enabling policy Participatory approaches Influence of communities in agri-food networks

### Expressions of the bio-economy

Principles: eco-efficiency; industrial ecology,



- innovation, competiveness and decoupling
  Competing land-use: bio-based products and biofuels
- Biological engineering (GM, synthetic biology, nanotechnology, stem cells)
  Regional clustering in agroparks

### Agropark in Sjanghai (Smeets, 2007)



### The New Mixed Business in the Netherlands



architect TRZIN

Clustering of 1,3 million chicken, 35.000 pigs and a bio-energy installation Expressions of eco-economy in agri-food networks

Multifunctional agriculture producing services

 Sustainable agriculture: Organic agriculture; (Peri-) Urban; Low-input agriculture, Zero-tillage & Agro-forestry

Alliances between different sectors

Resilience and local embeddedness



### **Empirical 'evidence'**

Organic agriculture can produce enough food per capita (FAO, 2007), yield ratios >1,0 in developing countries, compared to non-organic (Badgley et al 2007)



Organic agriculture is more profitable due to higher yields and price premiums (Nemes 2009)

 Agro-ecological projects lead to higher productivity (Pretty and Hine 2001)

Local-scale food systems are more sustainable because they have 'tight feedback loops' (Sunkvist et al 2005)

### Examples: I. Zero-tillage in Brasil

15 million hectare under 'plantio direto'
 No mechanical soil disturbance
 Permanent soil cover
 Judicious choice of crop rotations





### V. State-led organic agriculture in China



Green Food Development Centre

Fushan village experiment: economical development, biogas production, better soil structure, reductions in fertiliser applications and increases in crop yields.



## VI. The 'ENSETE' system in Ethiopia

5000 year old agro-forestry system of the Gedeo people (Kippie, 2002)

Perennial cropping, large variety of products + annual vegetables



Resilience against drought

Ensete as 'pacemaker, spacemaker and placemaker'

Productivity: Six mature Ensete plants per adult per year, a household of seven persons needs 0,2 hectare (yearly supply)

#### Outline of a new eco-economical regional paradigm

all to	Bio-economical regional paradigm	Eco-economical regional paradigm	Empirical examples based on Etude projects
Direction of development	Vertical oriented agricultural development	Vertically and horizontally oriented regional development	<b>Regional (product and destiny)</b> branding
Sector	Mono-sector oriented	Multi-sector oriented	<b>Energy production from biomass</b>
Functions (nature, agriculture, tourism)	Mono-functional, spatially divided Increased mobility,	Multifunctional/integrated Decrease of food miles	Rural services (education, tourism) and multifunctional agriculture (agri-tourism, nature/landscape management, city farms, care farms)
Products & Markets	Agricultural mass production Global markets 'McDonaldization'	<b>Endogenous development,</b> <b>Regional (niche) products and</b> <b>services Adding of local value</b> <b>based on regional assests</b>	Marketing of new breeds/varieties/ products; Organic production Culturally embedded products Shortening of food supply chains
Business- relations	Business-to-business	Business to consumer, links to new actors	Direct selling, rural estates, local food for specific groups like schools
Policy	Centralisation Hierarchical levels (state-region-local)	Decentralisation and European influence Fluide regions New temporary policy arrangements and 'soft spaces' of planning	Leader-programmes International partnerships Regional cooperation (public-public, public-private, 'triple helix', )

#### Eco-economical strategies in regional development (Overview 62 projects, ETUDE)

- 1. (niche-) Innovation
- 2. New interfaces
- 3. Re-orientation on rural resources
- 4. Integral regional development



# I. (Niche)-Innovation; Dimensions of product-market innovation

Current	Markets
Improvement or marketing of existing products (e.g. marketing organic beef)	New products (e.g. specific breeds, varieties, non-food)
Current products	New products
New Markets (e.g. local food for schools)	New products for new markets (health, housing & farming)
	Markets

#### Example New product-market combination: BIOenergy from wood chips and agricultural waste



### II. New interfaces

Individual Actors

Direct selling of beef in Umbria

Market goods

Farm care/city farms / rural estates in the Netherlands

Public goods

Community owned wind turbine in Wales

Landschaftspflege Verbände in Germany

Collective | Actors

### III. Re-orientation on Rural Resources

Agricultural	Products
Regional specific farm practices and products (breeds, guidelines)	Brands of products rooted in cultural identity (eg West County cheese makers)
Product-based	Culture-based
New product-market combinations based on regional resources (e.g. bio- energy from forests)	Regional branding, eg de Eiffel
Perional products	and services

NUQUUU

NCUI

# IV. Integral regional development and branding



Example: Regionen Aktiv in East- Germany, from waste-land to recreational and housing landscape

### New Rural-urban linkages: a lubricant for regional development (based on the rural web model and 12 European regional cases, ETUDE)



#### Rural-urban linkages as driving force and outcome; 6 dimensions

- Remoteness or closeness
- Development based on the primary sector or diversified
- Degree of endogeneity
- Linked to cultural/symbolic capital or disconnected
- Based on agri-ruralism or post-productivism
- Institutionalised and/or informal networks

### I. Remoteness and closeness



 Smiltene: Sportscentre near bye the towns Cesis and Valmiera
 Kittila: ski-centre for tourists from Helsinki (1,5 hour by plane)



# II. Development based on the primary sector or other sectors



 Giessen: knowledge region, bio-energy cluster and region with a high quality of life.
 Laag Holland: quality food production, agri-tourism and landscape protection



### III. Degree of endogeneity



Tyrnävä, high-grade location, suitable for potato seed production



Shetland: oil as rural resource

### IV. Linked to cultural/symbolic capital or disconnected

72 local-agro food products products in Lunigiana
 Oberland: no strong links with cultural identity



### V. Based on agri-ruralism or postproductivism



 Agri-ruralism (Devon)
 Post-productivism (Kittila)



### V. Institutionalised and/or informal networks

Administrative-driven (Kittilä municipality, Giessen district, Odenwald district and Shetland Islands)

 Civil participation and voluntary actions (Shetlands, Odenwald, Kittila, Smiltene, Lunigiana, Laag Holland, High Tiber valley and Devon)



#### **Conclusions:**

We see a politico-institutional framing favouring the bio-economy



Agroecological approaches could feed the world and contribute to a 'real green revolution' this requires re-thinking market mechanisms, innovative institutional flexibility on a regional scale, farmers and consumers participation and a redirection of science investments

The four eco-economical strategies can be a counterforce challenging the dominant bio-economic ecological modernization paradigm in many European regions

New urban-rural interfaces can function as a lubricant for this counterforce, leading to a more multifunctional form of land-use.

Regions compete internationally on the markets of food, leisure and housing, they can distinguish themselves by utilising their countryside capitals, re-orientating themselves on regional resources, and developing products and services linked to cultural and symbolic capital.