The table shows the **context indicators of the current programming period** (see indicator no. 1-59) as well as those indicators (no.60-84) that have been additionally identified as relevant by representatives of the Evaluation Expert Committee in the working group session on 20/09/2012 in Brussels. Before deciding on the list of context indicators to be included in the implementing rules, the relevance and feasibility of the indicators should be checked carefully in a joint effort between the Member States and the European Commission. The feedback from the Member States will be used in the Good Practice Workshop on context indicators, that is organized by the European Evaluation Helpdesk on 15/16 November in Lisbon. All contributions are welcome (by representatives of Managing Authorities, evaluators, research institutes, etc.).

TASK: Please fill the table below for any indicators for which you have good information available, or have particular know-how, or have specific questions.

- RELEVANCE (High/Medium/Low): Give your personal judgement on how relevant the indicator would be for 2014-2020 RDPs.
- Measurement: Which measurement is used for the indicator (in your current RDP or in other available statistics)? For indicator no. 1-59 the measurement proposed by the current CMEF is included. Please adapt, in case you suggest a different one. Further information on context indicators of the period 2007-2013 can be found under <u>Guidance note G Baseline</u> indicators fiches (http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/rurdev/eval/guidance/note g en.pdf)
- **Sources**: Where would you find this data?
- Most recent release year/update: What is the latest available release year and how often is the data updated?
- Geographic Level: At what level do you have the data (national, NUTS2, LAU4...)
- **FEASIBILITY** (High/Medium/Low): Based on the information that you have provided in the table, give <u>your personal judgement</u> on how feasible the indicator would be for 2014-2020.

Send the filled table to margot@ruralevaluation.eu by 5 November 2012 (Please note, that more than one table/RDP may be filled out!)

Your name:	
Organization:	
Function in relation to	
RDP (MA, evaluator,	
data expert, etc.).	
E-mail:	
Member State	
RDP(s):	

#	Draft context indicators	In	dicat	ive I	RD p	riorit	ies	Relevance H/M/L	Measurement (please adapt if needed)	Sources	Most recent year / update	Geographic	Feasibility	Comments
		1	2	3	4	5	6					Level	H/M/L	
0	Example: Unemployment	X					X	HIGH	unemployment rate (Eurostat definition)	Eurostat	2011 / monthly	Regional (NUTS-2)	HIGH	
1	Economic development	X	Х				X		GDP/capita (EU-25 = 100)					
2	Employment rate	X					X		Employed persons as a share of total					

	eparation of contex		iicut											
#	Draft context	In	dicat	tive 1	RD p	riorit	ties	Relevance H/M/L	Measurement (please adapt if	Sources	Most recent year /	Geographic Level	Feasibility H/M/L	Comments
	indicators	1	2	3	4	5	6	H/M/L	needed)		update	Lever	H/MI/L	
									population of the same age class					
3	Unemployment	X					X		Rate of unemployment (% active population)					
4	Training and education in agriculture	X	X	X			X		% farmers with basic and full education attained					
5	Age structure in agriculture	X	X						Ratio : farmers < 35 / >= 55 years old					
6	Labour productivity in agriculture	X	X	X					GVA / AWU - total and by sector.					
7	Gross fixed capital formation in agriculture	X	Х	X					GFCF in agriculture					
8	Employment development of primary sector	X	X						Employment in primary sector					
9	Economic development of primary sector								GVA in primary sector					
10	Labour productivity in food industry	X	X	X					GVA / people employed in food industry					
11	Gross fixed capital formation in food industry	X	X						GFCF in food industry					
12	Employment development in food industry	X	X	X					Employment in food industry					
13	Economic development of food industry		X						GVA in food industry					
14	Labour productivity in forestry	X	X			X			GVA / people employed in forestry					
15	Gross fixed capital formation in forestry	Х	Х						GFCF in forestry					
16	Importance of semi- subsistence farming in NMS	X			X				Number of farms < 1 ESU					
17	Biodiversity: Population of farmland birds				X	X			Trends of index of					

	eparation of contex	U IIIU	ncui				5 40.							VCISION 22/10/2012	
#	Draft context	In	dica	tive I	RD p	oriori	ties	Relevance H/M/L	Measurement (please adapt if	Sources	Most recent year /	Geographic Level	Feasibility H/M/L	Comments	
	indicators	indicators	1	2	3	4	5	6	11/101/12	needed)		update	Level	11/101/12	
									population of farmland birds						
18	Biodiversity: High Nature Value farmland and forestry	X			X	X			UAA of High Nature Value Farmland						
19	Biodiversity: Tree species composition				X	X			Distribution of species group by area of FOWL (% coniferous/% broadleaved/%mixed)						
20	Water quality: Gross Nutrient Balances	X			X	X			Surplus of nitrogen in kg/ha; Surplus of phosphorus in kg/ha						
21	Water quality: Pollution by nitrates and pesticides				X	X			Annual trends in the concentrations of nitrate in ground and surface waters ; Annual trends in the concentrations of pesticides in ground and surface waters						
22	Soil: Areas at risk of soil erosion	X			X	X			Areas at risk of soil erosion (T/ha/year)						
23	Soil: Organic farming	X			X				UAA under organic farming						
24	Climate change: Production of renewable energy from agriculture and forestry	X				X			Production of renewable energy from agriculture (ktoe); Production of renewable energy from forestry (ktoe)						
25	Climate change: UAA devoted to renewable energy					X			UAA devoted to energy and biomass crops						
26	Climate change/air quality: gas emissions	X				X			Emissions of						

	reparation of context indicators for KDFs 2014-2020											VEI SIOII 22/10/2012		
#	Draft context indicators	In 1	dicat	tive 1	RD p	riorit 5	ies 6	Relevance H/M/L	Measurement (please adapt if needed)	Sources	Most recent year / update	Geographic Level	Feasibility H/M/L	Comments
	from agriculture								greenhouse gases and ammonia from agriculture					
27	Farmers with other gainful activity		X			X	X		% holders with other gainful activity					
28	Employment development of non- agricultural sector	X	X				X		Employment in secondary and tertiary sectors					
29	Economic development of non-agricultural sector	X	X			X	Х		GVA in secondary and tertiary sectors					
30	Self-employment development	X	X				X		Self-employed persons					
31	Tourism infrastructure in rural area						X		Number of bedplaces (in hotels, campings, holiday dwellings, etc)					
32	Internet take-up in rural areas	X					X		% population having subscribed to DSL internet					
33	Development of services sector		X			X	X		% GVA in services					
34	Net migration	Х					Х		Net migration rate					
35	Life-long learning in rural areas	X					X		% of population of adults participating in education and training					
36	Development of Local Action Groups						X		Share of population covered by Local Action Groups					
37	Designation of rural areas						Х		Designation of rural areas with OECD methodology					
38	Importance of rural areas						X		% territory in rural areas ; % population in rural areas ; % Gross Value Added in					

Preparation of context mulcators for KDPS 2014-2020												version 22/10/2012		
#	Draft context indicators	In 1	dicat	tive I	RD p	riorit 5	ies 6	Relevance H/M/L	Measurement (please adapt if needed)	Sources	Most recent year / update	Geographic Level	Feasibility H/M/L	Comments
		-					Ŭ				upuate			
									rural areas ; %					
									employment in rural					
									areas					
39	Agricultural land use		X		Х				% arable area /					
									permanent grass /					
									permanent crops					
40	Farm structure		Х		Х				Number of farms;					
									Utilized Agricultural					
									Area; Average area					
									farm size and					
									distribution; Average					
									economic farm size					
									and distribution;					
									Labour Force					
41	Forestry structure		Χ		Χ	Х			Area of forest					
									available for wood					
									supply (FAWS);					
									Ownership (% area of					
									FAWS under					
									"eligible" ownership);					
									Average size of					
									private holding					
42	Forest productivity	_	V		v				(FOWL)					
42	Forest productivity		Χ		Χ				Average net annual					
									volume increment					
43	Land cover				X	X			(FAWS)					
43	Land cover				Λ	Λ			% area in agricultural					
									/ forest / natural /					
44	Less Favoured Areas		X		X				artificial classes					
44	Less Favoureu Areas		Λ		Λ				% UAA in non LFA /					
									LFA mountain / other					
									LFA / LFA with					
45	Areas of extensive		X	-	X				specific handicaps % UAA for extensive					
43	agriculture		Λ		Λ									
									arable crops; % UAA					
									for extensive grazing					

#	Draft context indicators					riorit		Relevance H/M/L	Measurement	S	Most recent	Geographic	Feasibility	Comments
Ħ		1	2	3	4	5	6		(please adapt if needed)	Sources	year / update	Level	H/M/L	Comments
46	Natura 2000 area	X			X				% territory under Natura 2000 ; % UAA under Natura 2000 ; % forest area under Natura 2000;					
47	Biodiversity: Protected forest				X				% FOWL protected to conserve biodiversity, landscapes and specific natural elements (MCPFE 4.9, classes 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 & 2)					
48	Development of forest area		X		X				Average annual increase of forest and other wooded land areas					
49	Forest ecosystem health								% trees / conifers / broadleaved in defoliation classes 2- 4					
50	Water quality					X			% territory designated as Nitrate Vulnerable Zone					
51	Water use	Х				Х			% irrigated UAA					
52	Protective forests concerning primarily soil and water				X				FOWL area managed primarily for soil & water protection (MCPFE 5.1 class 3.1)					
53	Population density						Χ		Population density					
54	Age structure		X				X		% people aged (0-14) y.o. / (15-64) y.o. / >=65 y.o. in total population					
55	Structure of the Economy	X	Х				Х		% GVA by branch (Primary / Secondary					

#	Draft context	In	dicat	tive 1	RD p	riorit	ties	Relevance	Measurement (please adapt if	Sources	Most recent	Geographic	Feasibility	Comments
	indicators	1	2	3	4	5	6	H/M/L	needed)		year / update	Level	H/M/L	
									/ Tertiary sector)					
56	Structure of	X					Х		% employment by					
	Employment								branch (Primary /					
									Secondary / Tertiary					
									sector)					
57	Long-term	X					X		% long-term					
	unemployment								unemployment (as a					
									share of active					
									population)					
58	Educational attainment	X					Х		% adults (25_64) with					
									Medium & High					
									educational					
									attainment					
59	Internet infrastructure						Χ		DSL coverage					
60	Average age of young	Х												
61	farmers taking over Income in agriculture		X											
	sectors													
62	Wages in non- agricultural sector		X											
63	GDP/person employed		Х											
	in agriculture and non-													
64	agriculture Sensitivity awareness					X								
65	Production of biomass					X								
66	Consumption kwh/EUR					X								
	produced													
67	Recycling					Х								
68	Extent of existing forest				Χ									
	environmental schemes					<u> </u>								
69	Extent of existing agri- environmental schemes				Х									
70	Pillar I situation –				X	+								
,,,	modulation and				Λ	1								
	greening (baselines)													
71	Regional or specific				Χ									
	information on				1	1								
	particular issues (example water				1	1								
	(example water		1		1	1	1		1					

version 22/10/2012 Most **Indicative RD priorities** Measurement **Draft context** Relevance Geographic Feasibility recent # (please adapt if Sources Comments H/M/L Level H/M/L indicators vear / update catchment, HNV) 72 Other sources of Х funding Existence of advisory 73 Х plus training services for the environment 74 Impact of climate Х change on ecosystems No of farmer's markets 75 Х 76 No of registered quality Х products % of agricultural 77 Х products as the inputs for local food industry 78 Participants in mutual Х funds Х 79 Income level in agriculture sector Х Uptake value of 80 insurance Age structure in rural 81 Х areas Population under 82 Х poverty risk (impact indicator) 83 Accessibility to basic Х services and infrastructure Social capital Х 84

Preparation of context indicators for RDPs 2014-2020

8

Your general comments concerning context indicators for RDPs 2014-2020: