



Rome, 24th June 2011
Plenary Session

Present and future role of forest resources in the socio-economic development of rural areas

Reporting Parallel Session 3

Strategies for mitigation of and adaptation to climate change.

Marili PARISSAKI

*European Network Rural Development
Contact Point expert
(EU)*

parissaki@kantor-group.eu

Keynote speech: Lorenzo Ciccarese

The context:

- ↑ GHG emissions – China 1st but... overall, concentrated in developed world
- 0.7 C° increase in temperature – esp. affecting MED regions
- CC impacts on forestry ecosystems and on productivity of agriculture & forest systems
- Geoengineering techniques

The challenges:

- Challenges for forest policies
- Challenges for forest research and information
- Contradictory objectives: carbon sink, timber production, bio-energy

Inventory of forest carbon stocks

Assessments for regional implementation

Context: Lombardy / Authors from EPA and Lombardy Region

- Italy must report the net change in GHG emissions
- Forest growth and changes in forest surface affect carbon sink
- Regional inventory of carbon sink helps account for the balance between absorption and emission of carbon (input/output model: forest data/carbon stock, carbon sink)
- Forest carbon stock is growing – esp. in Natura 2000
- Living forest biomass critical for the carbon pool / absorption (carbon sink)
- Combined use of efficient forestry measures for reducing emissions from mobility and productive activities – effective in CC mitigation and adaptation

National GHG inventory

A tool for planning mitigation measures

Context: National / Authors from ISPRA

- National inventory responds to requirements to communicate GHG emissions to UNFCCC
- GHG mitigation measures focus on reduction of N₂O emissions from the soil and CH₄ emissions from manure management
- Relevance of agriculture and LULUCF in emissions
- Total GHG emission decreased (5.4%) but by less than the Kyoto target (6.5%)
- Agricultural sector responsible for bulk of N₂O (41%) and CH₄ emissions (69%)
- LULUCF responsible for more than 50% increase of total removals in CO₂ equivalent – from maintenance of forest land

M&E fundamental to assess contribution of mitigation measures

Impact of CC on Italian tree species

Net primary productivity and water use

University of Rome, University of Tuscia, National Research Council

- Ecosystems respond asymmetrically to CC
- Forests must adapt to changes in climate variables but also to increased variability (e.g. droughts North instead of South)
- Reduced NPP as a result of CC
- Reduced water use efficiency from climate changes
- Deciduous species strong reduction of NPP values from CC
- Mediterranean oak more resistant
- High temperature and drought condition the final distribution of plant species

Green Infrastructure

Benefits, functions and issues

Context: Northern Italy / Authors from Università degli Studi di Bari

- Green infrastructure planning beyond the ecological approach
- Urban afforestation, biodiversity and brings social benefits
- UPFs relevant for high growth and densely populated
- Relevant when lack of green spaces and ecological connections
- Compensatory afforestation – a viable approach
- Governance by NGOs, volunteers, citizens, environmental
- Empowerment of farmers – ownership through green systems

Is RD policy a barrier?...

Stop talking start planting!!!

Mainstreaming forest-based mitigation measures

Policy options

With a view to the next programming period...

- Forests underfunded and peripheral in public discourse
- Political commitment/priority is needed

Several options:

Additionality (e.g. carbon credits) vs restructuring (e.g. comprehensive RDPs)

Sector specific or standardised carbon price?

Pay for stocks or for land use change and quality?

European or tropical forests as “loci” for mitigation?

Price or non-price signals and policies?

SWOT- Current situation

STRENGTHS

Existence of empirical models that measure impacts of CC

Regional inventories of forest carbon sinks

National GHG inventory – attempts to implement regionally, aiming to go local

Biodiversity/social benefits from UPFs

Well being / quality of life from green spaces

High % of living forest biomass in certain areas - mitigation

WEAKNESSES

Management/maintenance harder than creation of forests

CC causes complex diseases

CC advances growing and flower seasons and delays autumn phenomena

Periods of drought and heat affect status of forest trees and vegetation in UPFs

RDP cannot be used for UPFs

Forests underfunded / low priority in public discourse

Current lack of links between research and policy (e.g. GHG inventory)

SWOT - Prospects

OPPORTUNITIES

RDP 2007-2013

Pursue interests of farmers/citizens

Governance by volunteers/citizens

Increased awareness

Support decision making in the allocation of obligations for reducing emissions

M&E of RD measures

National GHG emission inventory for planning CC mitigation measures

Use results of research for policy making

TRHEATS

Rising temperatures

Reduced productivity and water use efficiency from high temperature and drought

Delays in current funds spending

Limited monitoring of obligations for reducing emissions

Lack of baseline data – measurable indicators

Enhance forestry sector in the generation of carbon credits

Challenges for future RD policies

- Assessment of adequate funding for forestry measures
- Awareness raising on the role of forestry in climate action
- Increased civil society and grass roots organisations participation
- Effective use of technical models, tools and inventories
- M&E of mitigation and adaptation measures
- Choice of policy options that capitalise on the potential of forests in combating CC
- Bring forests closer to where we live - Green growth in urban areas