



**23 APRILE 2021 -CREA-PB, VIA PO N.14, ROMA**

**Le caratteristiche di Leader in Italia e in  
Europa: primi risultati della rilevazione on-  
line “EU-Wide Leader Evaluation”**

**Francesco Mantino (CREA-Politiche e bioeconomia), Roma**

## Studio DG AGRI

Titolo: Studio sull'impatto del LEADER sullo sviluppo territoriale equilibrato

Focus sul terzo obiettivo della PAC: *«Realizzare uno sviluppo territoriale equilibrato delle economie e comunità rurali, compresi la creazione e il mantenimento di posti di lavoro»*



## Obiettivi della presentazione

- Un primo stato dell'arte del LEADER in Europa
- On-line survey: risposte dei GAL e delle AdG
- Diversità nel sistema di attuazione e finanziamento
- Focus su carico amministrativo
- Fabbisogni e contributo di LEADER a livello territoriale

## Structuring

## Observing

## Analysing + Judging

## Judging

Literature review & documentary analysis

Research Design & case study selection

ESQ Analysis requirements: evaluative criteria + indicators

Development of programme theory & intervention logic

Collation of relevant secondary data for context analysis along with new survey data

Online Survey of LAGs promoted via key gatekeeper networks (ENRD, ELARD, NRNs)

Online Survey of MS Managing Authorities

2 or 3 LAGs in-depth per RDP : 36  
LAGs  
Case studies: 15 RDPs in 10 Member States

Interviews with key EU experts – ENRD, ELARD, etc.

Case study analyses, + Comparative analysis between + within DPs

Analysis & synthesis of all findings

MS and LAGs' survey analysis, in contrasting MS, RDP and LAG contexts

Develop conclusions recommendations, + Draft Exec summary

EC Validation workshop

EU level evaluation; draft all ESQ answers

Draft Final Report Conclusions and recommendations

Refined with EC input


Executive Summary and dissemination materials

Refined with EC input

# Le risposte dei GAL alla survey on line




715 risposte su 2.784  
GAL (25.7%)






98 risposte su 118 AdG  
(79,6%)



## Mono o plurifondo?


Q5 Selected LEADER funding approach  
(N=68)




■ Mono-funding    ■ Multi-funding    ■ Both approaches used

## Benefici percepiti dalle AdG per i diversi approcci


Perceived benefits of funding arrangements




## La partecipazione nelle partnership dei GAL




## Le dimensioni dei budget dei GAL






MA PA LAG

Il ruolo dei GAL, delle AdG e dell'organismo pagatore




Il carico amministrativo

Change in administrative burden 2007-13 to 2014-20





### Scale of the problem




## Applicazione dei costi semplificati

SCOs applied under M19 in 2014-20







Perception of problems in LAG areas



## Principali fabbisogni percepiti dalle AdG nelle aree LEADER



## Contributo di LEADER a livello territoriale



## Una serie di considerazioni



- ❖ Grande diffusione e consolidamento
- ❖ Differenti modelli di delivery
- ❖ Criticità: dimensione finanziaria e carico amministrativo
- ❖ Miglioramenti che stanno avanzando
- ❖ Necessità di approfondire efficacia