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1. Background

• Indicators have **different functions**:  
  – unit of scientific measurement  
  – elements of monitoring systems  
  – elements of policy or management strategies

• Indicators’ selection and use involve **multiple valid perspectives**

• Dialogue between *researchers, providers of information, and users*: What is relevant, feasible and useful?

• → support in the decision-making process in order to increase transparency, ownership and public legitimacy
1. Objectives

The **stakeholder involvement process** was conducted to...

- know and understand perceptions of stakeholders
- of sustainability measurement
- of proposed indicators

- support the **indicators' definition and selection**
2. Process

1. Stakeholder identification

Who ... collect, store, analyze and report? Is a potential user? Could oppose?

2. Design of consultation tools

Discussion groups (pilot)
Interviews

3. Conducting the consultation

13 discussion groups
86 interviews
61 reports
174 persons

4. Analysis

Coding (2 cycles)
Linking codes to find patterns

5. Assessment of the process

Experience and implications
3. Results

8 stakeholders groups

Farmers (58)
Farm advisors (13)
Farm data collectors (46)
FADN representatives (9)
Policy makers and/or policy evaluators (9)
Scientific and academics (11)
Farmers representatives (3)
Value chain actors (14)
### 3. Results

#### Perceptions towards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Sustainability measurement at farm level</th>
<th>What influences current information exchange?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scoring 33 indicators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Feasibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Usefulness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Feasibility and usefulness of indicators

Why?
3. Results: sustainability measurement at farm level

Information exchange is already happening

What influences this exchange?

- Products
- Information
- Incentives

- objectives
- trust
- expected benefits and risks
- cooperation between stakeholders
3. Results: sustainability measurement at farm level

Example

Changes

- New law on plant protection
  - Farmers have to reduce chemical use and register chemical that use
- Processors check quality and contents of chemical in products
- Depending on markets there are different lists of acceptable
- Dairy farms have to adopt technology to deliver milk, milk quality (cells counting
- Document feed, store
- Accept visits of assessors and audits
- Consumers don't prefer meat products from unknown sources: they prefer local products. Poor people buy according price
3. Results: feasibility and usefulness of indicators, scoring
3. Results: feasibility and usefulness of indicators: why?

„Farmers become reserved when those topics get to the table. But if you have good reputation they become active partners“

Farm advisor

„Easy at farm level; difficult at parcel level“

Data collector

There are fact, lies and statistics. It is not difficult to collect data, it is much more difficult to understand data“

Researcher

„Retailers are not really interested in that type of information“

Value chain actor

„This [the indicator] is to inform others, not to use at farm level“

Farmer

„It makes sense to collect some information, even when it could not be used at farm level“

Farmer
4. Conclusions

- All indicators are perceived as feasible, except GHG
- What influences perceptions on **feasibility**: type of data, availability in the farm records, relationship (trust), farm characteristics
- What influences perceptions on **usefulness**: relevance of the problem expected to address, expected use, context and farm characteristics
- Divergences are stronger on those indicators that are not expected to be used at farm level
- Adequate reporting important for communication
4. Conclusions

At the end of the day, stakeholders' perceptions are not determined by the indicator only but equally by the context in which the information is gathered, transferred and used.
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