

Common Evaluation Questions for Rural Development Programmes 2014-2020

Enrique Nieto/Jela Tvrdonova

18th Evaluation Expert Committee Brussels, 20 November 2013



Outline

- 1. Background
- 2. Comments received from EC and MS
 - a) Common Evaluation Questions
 - b) Common Judgement Criteria
 - c) Common RD indicators and additional information

Where do we stand for?



- July 2013: Workshop on CEQs with Experts and EC representatives
- 18 Sep. 2013 17th ExCo meeting:
 - First draft of CEQs presented at the
 - The EC and MS provided comments during the meeting and in written
- 20 Nov. 2013 18th ExCo meeting: Presentation of the updated working document on CEQs

Comments from MS on Common Evaluation Questions



COMMENTS	HOW WAS IT ADDRESSED?
CEQs are formulated very general – The specificities of the programme are not captured by CEQs	 Explanation on the role and purpose of CEQs and programme-specific EQs has been extended FA-related CEQs have been reformulated to link them more clearly to policy objectives
Some Horizontal CEQs contain more questions to be answered separately	 Horizontal CEQs have been split and linked to each horizontal objective
Terms used in the CEQs are sometimes unclear	• Approach how to define key terms at RDP level is provided in footnotes (e.g. young farmers, short supply circuits, etc.)

Comments from MS on Common Judgement Criteria



COMMENTS	HOW IT WAS ADDRESSED
Judgement criteria do not allow to link CEQs and indicators	 Judgement criteria have been modified according comments allowing the assessment against a baseline Additional judgement criteria have been developed to cover all policy objectives
Unclear terminology in Judgement criteria e.g. "Sufficient", "More", "Significant"	 Unclear terms have been removed Newly introduced terms have been defined in footnotes

NEW: Development of judgement criteria for National Rural Networks CEQs

Comments from MS

on Common indicators & additional information



COMMENTS	HOW IT WAS ADDRESSED
Common Indicators and proposed additional information are insufficient to answer CEQs	 New and revised additional information is proposed in line with the adjustment of CEQs and judgement criteria



Thank you for your attention!

Evaluation Helpdesk Chaussée Saint-Pierre 260 B-1040 Brussels Tel. +32 2 736 18 90 E-mail <u>info@ruralevaluation.eu</u> http://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/en/